Devine Holdings, LLC
PO Box 8513
Fort Mohave, AZ 86427
(928) 299-3281

FIRST FIDELITY BANK, NA
PHOENIX, AZ 85018
39-269/1030

7989

01/21/2026

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Treasurer, State of Connecticut $ **104,000.00

One hundred four thousand and 00/100********** DOLLARS

PROTECTED AGAINST FRAUD

Treasurer, State of Connecticut
165 Capitol Ave
Hartford, CT 06106

MEMO

⑈007989⑈ ⑆103002691⑆ 2653205572⑈

© 2021 INTUIT INC. 1-800-433-8810
Details on Back
Intuit® CheckLock™ Secure Check

Devine Holdings, LLC			7989
01/21/2026	Treasurer, State of Connecticut		
		Settlement Agreement to the State of Connecticut	104,000.00

First Fidelity Bank 104,000.00

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

In the Matter of the

Investigation by William Tong, Attorney General of the State of Connecticut, of
Mohave CT, LLC,
Devine Holdings, LLC,
Debbie's Dispensary, LLC,
Sara's Dispensary, LLC,
Ty's Dispensary, LLC,
Andrew James Simonow,
Tigersun Services Inc. dba GC National,
Curtis Walter Devine,
Debbie Sue Hunter,
Sara Rose Presler, and
Tyler Neil Christensen.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") is made and entered into this **9th** day of **January 2026** (the "effective date") by and among the State of Connecticut, acting through the Office of the Connecticut Attorney General (the "Attorney General"), and Mohave CT, LLC and its ultimate parent company, Devine Holdings, LLC ("Mohave"), as well as Debbie's Dispensary, LLC ("Debbie's"), Sara's Dispensary, LLC ("Sara's"), Ty's Dispensary, LLC ("Ty's"), and Tigersun Services, Inc. dba GC National ("GC National") and their principals Andrew James Simonow, Curtis Walter Devine, Debbie Sue Hunter, Sara Rose Presler, and Tyler Neil Christensen (collectively, the "Respondents"). The Attorney General and the Respondents are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties."

WHEREAS the Attorney General has been investigating alleged violations of state antitrust, consumer protection, and cannabis notice of material change laws in the Adult-Use Cannabis Industry in Connecticut (the "Investigation");

WHEREAS the Attorney General is prepared to make the following factual allegations based upon the Attorney General's Investigation ("Allegations"), which the Respondents deny:

ALLEGATIONS

1. **Mohave CT, LLC** ("Mohave") is a for-profit limited liability company organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the law of the State of Arizona, with its principal address at 4121 E. Valley Auto Drive, Suite 120, Mesa, AZ 85206. It is majority owned by Devine Holdings,

LLC, with Andrew James Simonow holding a minority interest. Its managers are Curtis Walter Devine, Sara Rose Presler, and Debbie Sue Hunter.

2. **Devine Holdings, LLC** ("Devine Holdings") is a for-profit limited liability company organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the law of the State of Arizona, with its principal address at 4121 E. Valley Auto Drive, Suite 120, Mesa, AZ 85206. It is the majority member of Mohave and exercises control over its management and operations. Its members include Curtis Walter Devine, Sara Rose Presler, and Debbie Sue Hunter. Its manager is Curtis Walter Devine.

3. **Debbie's Dispensary, LLC** ("Debbie's") is a for-profit limited liability company organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the law of the State of Connecticut, with its principal business address at 500 Main St, East Hartford, CT 06118. Its sole member/manager is Debbie Sue Hunter. It currently holds two cannabis establishment licenses: a retailer license (ACRE.0009619) located at 500 Main St, East Hartford, CT 06118, and a microcultivator license (ACME.0003689) located at 115 Forest St, Norwich, CT 06360. On or about March 26, 2025, Debbie's adopted the trade name/dba "Crisp Cannabis."

4. **Sara's Dispensary, LLC** ("Sara's") is a for-profit limited liability company organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the law of the State of Connecticut, with its principal business address at 33 Berlin Rd, Cromwell, CT 06416. Its sole member/manager is Sara Rose Presler. It currently holds a single cannabis establishment retailer license (ACRE.0005925) located at 33 Berlin Rd, Cromwell, CT 06416. On or about March 26, 2025, Sara's adopted the trade name/dba "Crisp Cannabis."

5. **Ty's Dispensary, LLC** ("Ty's") is a for-profit limited liability company organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the law of the State of Connecticut, with its principal business address at 1234 Huntington Tpke, Bridgeport, CT 06611. Its sole member/manager is Tyler Neil Christensen. It currently holds a single cannabis establishment hybrid retailer license (AMHF.0004449) located at 1234 Huntington Tpke, Bridgeport, CT 06611. On or about April 5, 2025, Ty's adopted the trade name/dba "Crisp Cannabis."

6. On May 6, 2025, the Attorney General received a notice of material change required by Conn. Gen. Stat. § 21a-422k from Mohave informing the Attorney General of its intent to acquire 100% of the issued and outstanding equity interests of Debbie's, Sara's, and Ty's (collectively "the licensees"), including their underlying cannabis establishment licenses that each company independently owns and operates.

7. The Attorney General is prepared to allege that Respondents have had a long-established business relationship with one another prior to filing their May 6, 2025 notice of material change. Since at least May 21, 2024, Respondents, acting in concert, have entered into various agreements, including a construction management agreement between each licensee, Andrew James Simonow and his wholly owned affiliate, GC National, and a consulting agreement between Mohave and Simonow. Under these agreements, Mohave engaged Simonow to serve as a general contractor to renovate and build out the physical locations of each of the licensees' cannabis establishments on behalf of Mohave,

in accordance with the specifications promulgated by the Department of Consumer Protection ("DCP"). However, Simonow's role far exceeded that of a conventional contractor or consultant. Evidence indicates that Simonow, acting at the direction of Mohave, exercised operational and managerial authority across all licensees, including decisions relating to site design, branding, staffing, compliance communications, and regulatory submissions. Simonow represented himself to various governmental agencies, municipal authorities, and members of the public as a central point of control and decision-making for the group, creating the appearance—and, in practice, the effect—of unified ownership and management at a time when each licensee was required to act as an independent market participant.

Connecticut's Cannabis Industry

8. Connecticut's cannabis industry has evolved rapidly since the state legalized adult-use cannabis in 2021 under the Responsible and Equitable Regulation of Adult-Use Cannabis Act ("RERACA"), Conn. Gen. Stat. § 21a-420 *et seq.* The law established a comprehensive regulatory framework designed to balance public access, public health and safety, and market fairness. Adult-use sales began in January 2023, expanding upon the state's existing medical marijuana program under the oversight of the DCP, which regulates every stage of the cannabis supply chain, including cultivation, product manufacturing, testing, labeling, and retail sales, to ensure consumers have access to safe and high-quality products.

9. The DCP issues licenses divided into several categories, with statutory restrictions intended to prevent undue market concentration. Licenses cover different sectors of the industry supply chain, including but not limited to cultivators, manufacturers, retailers, micro-cultivators, and delivery services, and are designed to maintain a balanced and diverse market structure. Certain licenses are issued through a lottery system open to both general and social equity applicants, with the latter—those connected to communities disproportionately impacted by past cannabis enforcement—receiving priority access, reduced fees, and additional support. Together, these measures aim to foster an open, competitive, and well-regulated cannabis industry that reflects both public health and safety and fair market principles. The licenses in question are not social equity licenses.

RERACA's Notice of Material Change Requirement

10. The Attorney General is charged with enforcing § 21a-422k of RERACA, which requires parties to provide advance written notice to the Attorney General before making any material change in ownership or control, including the addition of a backer, merger, acquisition, or transfer of assets or management authority. A buyer may "acquire" an interest in a cannabis establishment without taking formal legal title—for instance, by exerting operational control over the business or otherwise obtaining "beneficial ownership." Such transactions fall within the scope of § 21a-422k's notice and waiting period requirements, which impose a minimum thirty-day review period during which the Attorney General may seek additional information or extend review to assess whether the transaction, if consummated, would violate antitrust laws.

11. RERACA's advance notice and waiting period requirements ensure that the parties to a proposed transaction continue to operate separately and independently during review, preventing anticompetitive acquisitions from harming consumers before the Attorney General has had the opportunity to review them according to the procedures established by the General Assembly under RERACA. A buyer that prematurely takes beneficial ownership of assets, commonly referred to as "gun jumping," is subject to statutory penalties of up to $25,000 per day, as well as court-ordered civil remedies necessary to restore compliance with state law.

Alleged Violations

12. Based on information and evidence obtained during its review of the notice of material change and subsequent Investigation, the Attorney General is prepared to file a complaint alleging that Respondents engaged in conduct in violation of § 21a-422k of RERACA, § 35-26 of the Connecticut Antitrust Act ("CAA"), and § 42-110a of the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act ("CUTPA") as described below:

Violation No. 1 – Gun Jumping in Violation of RERACA

13. The Attorney General uncovered substantial evidence that Respondents have engaged in conduct amounting to gun jumping by allowing Mohave to assume operational and decision-making control over significant aspects of the licensees' day-to-day operations and coordinated the sharing of competitively sensitive information for at least 109 days prior to filing of the required notice of material change. This was no mere technical violation but rather the effective transfer of beneficial ownership, allowing three competitors to become one before undertaking the premerger notification and waiting period requirements of RERACA. Respondents' alleged conduct constitutes a violation of § 21a-422k of RERACA.

Violation No. 2 – Unlawful Exchange of Information in Violation of the CAA

14. The Attorney General further uncovered substantial evidence that Respondents engaged in the unlawful exchange of competitively sensitive information, including pricing, sales, tax, trade secrets, and other operational data, for the purpose of aligning commercial strategies across nominally independent cannabis licensees. These exchanges were not incidental or peripheral business discussions but reflected a deliberate course of coordination designed to eliminate independent decision-making and reduce uncertainty regarding future pricing and promotional conduct. Through these communications, Respondents effectively established a mechanism for monitoring and harmonizing retail prices and discount programs across the multiple licensees operating under the same Crisp Cannabis brand. Respondents' alleged conduct constitutes an agreement or concerted practice in restraint of trade in violation of § 35-26 of the CAA.

Violation No. 3 – Unfair Method of Competition in Violation of CUTPA

15. The Attorney General further uncovered substantial evidence that Respondents engaged in an unfair method of competition by coordinating business and operational decisions among

multiple cannabis establishment licensees operating under a common de facto enterprise. These coordinated actions, including the alignment of pricing, marketing, and operational decisions, reduced or eliminated independent competition among the licensees. Respondents' alleged conduct frustrates the clear public policy of RERACA, which seeks to ensure a fair and competitive licensing system and constitutes an unfair method of competition in violation of § 42-110b of CUTPA.

WHEREAS each Respondent disputes and contests the foregoing alleged violations, but desires to settle and close this investigation without the time, expense, and uncertainty of litigation.

WHEREAS Respondents, without admitting any of the Allegations contained herein, are entering into this Settlement Agreement prior to any court making any findings of fact or conclusions of law relating to the Allegations of the Attorney General;

WHEREAS pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, without admitting any of the Allegations or other liability, Respondents agree to certain remedies, business reforms, and to pay a civil penalty to resolve all claims and potential claims the Attorney General can bring against the Respondents, including any further investigations, enforcement actions, or penalties that may possibly be taken or brought on behalf of the Department of Consumer Protection, relating to the Allegations in order to avoid the costs of litigation;

WHEREAS the Parties agree that neither the fact of, nor any provision contained in, this Settlement Agreement shall constitute, or be construed, as an admission or finding of wrongdoing or violation by any Respondent for any purpose, of any fact or of any violation of any state law, rule, or regulation.

WHEREAS the Attorney General finds that the relief and other provisions contained in this Settlement Agreement are appropriate and in the public interest.

NOW THEREFORE, in exchange for the mutual obligations described below, the Parties hereby enter into this Settlement Agreement and agree as follows:

I. ASSURANCES

16. Respondents commit that they shall not engage or attempt to engage in violations of RERACA, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 21a-420k, the CAA, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 35-24 *et seq.*, and in any unfair methods of competition in violation of CUTPA, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a *et seq.*

17. Respondents commit that they shall timely file any notice of material change required by Conn. Gen. Stat. § 21a-422k prior to any transfer of beneficial ownership in connection with any future acquisition.

II. CIVIL PENALTY

18. Respondents agree to, jointly and severally, make a payment to the State of Connecticut in the amount of four hundred and sixteen thousand dollars ($416,000) as a civil penalty, with one hundred four thousand dollars ($104,000) (the "Primary Amount") due within thirty (30) days following the effective date of this Settlement Agreement, and the remaining amount of three hundred twelve thousand dollars ($312,000) (the "Deferred Amount") deferred on the condition Respondents timely make payment of the Primary Amount and otherwise fully comply with the terms of this Settlement Agreement, as determined solely by the Attorney General.

19. Payment shall be by certified or bank check, shall be made out to "Treasurer, State of Connecticut," and shall be delivered to the Office of the Attorney General, 165 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, Connecticut, 06106, Attn: Assistant Attorney General Julián Quiñones Reyes, or to such other address as the Attorney General might designate.

20. If Respondents fail to tender the payment as set forth in this Section II, then they shall be considered in default and the sum of the Primary Amount and the Deferred Amount shall be due and owing immediately, together with ten percent (10%) simple interest per annum thereon. Such interest shall accrue from the date the payment is due through and including the date the payment is made.

III. ANTITRUST COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

21. Mohave CT, LLC and the Licensee Respondents (collectively referred to in this paragraph as "the Company") shall design, maintain, and operate an antitrust and competition compliance program to reasonably assure compliance with the Settlement Agreement and the federal and state antitrust and competition laws, including the CAA, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 35-24 *et seq.* and CUTPA, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a *et seq.* as it relates to any unfair methods of competition. At a minimum, the compliance program shall include the following elements:

(a) ***Design and Comprehensiveness.*** The Company has or will develop compliance policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent antitrust violations. The policies and procedures should be integrated into the Company's business practices and reinforced through appropriate internal controls specifically tailored to the Company's business. The Company shall notify all relevant employees that compliance with the policies and procedures is the duty of individuals at all levels of the Company.

(b) ***Culture of Compliance.*** The Company's senior leadership as a whole, through words and actions, will work to foster a culture of compliance throughout the organization. Senior leadership across the organization are and will be held accountable for failures in the Company's antitrust compliance.

(c) ***Responsibility for the Compliance Program.*** The Company will assign responsibility to one or more senior leaders in the company to ensure implementation and oversight of the antitrust compliance program. Those responsible for the Company's antitrust

compliance program will be provided with sufficient autonomy, authority, and seniority within the Company's governance structure to effectuate the compliance program.

(d) ***Periodic Risk-Based Reviews.*** The Company will conduct periodic antitrust risk assessments to ensure that its antitrust compliance program, including internal controls, is reasonably tailored to the Company's individual circumstances. In undertaking such risk assessments, the Company will review its policies and procedures and make any reasonable adjustments and updates based on changes in the Company's operations, circumstances, legal developments, and industry practices.

(e) ***Training and Communication.*** The Company will develop an antitrust compliance training program reasonably tailored to the Company's specific antitrust risks and will periodically update the program to ensure that it reflects the Company's current antitrust policies and reporting procedures, and legal, technical, or marketplace developments. The audience, timing, frequency, form, and content of the Company's antitrust training should be commensurate with the Company's operations and circumstances. The Company should take steps to ensure that all relevant employees (regardless of management level or location) understand the antitrust training and when and how to report a possible antitrust violation. Training may include participation and compliance certifications as appropriate. The Company will also maintain records of training and compliance-related communications.

(f) ***Monitoring and Auditing.*** The Company will conduct regular monitoring and auditing of its antitrust compliance program to ensure that the program is fully implemented and followed. If the Company's monitoring and auditing functions detect potential violations, they will be reported to the Company's senior management by the individual(s) responsible for the compliance program. The Company will also revise its policies, procedures, and internal controls as appropriate to reflect the results and findings of monitoring and audit activities.

(g) ***Reporting and Guidance.*** The Company will implement an effective and confidential system for communication that employees may use to seek guidance, raise concerns, or report potential antitrust violations anonymously and confidentially without fear of retaliation. The system will be widely disseminated to all relevant employees and will be designed to respond promptly to all communications. The Company will maintain records of any communications through this system and how those communications were addressed.

(h) ***Incentives and Discipline.*** The importance of antitrust compliance will be reflected in the Company's employee evaluation, incentive, and compensation structure. The Company will discipline employees, managers, and senior executives as is reasonable, appropriate, and lawfully permissible for antitrust compliance failures.

(i) ***Remediation.*** The Company shall have procedures in place to address failures in the Company's antitrust compliance program and to communicate changes in its policies to employees.

(j) ***Cure Period.*** The Company agrees that steps to correct any non-compliance with the compliance program shall be commenced within fifteen (15) days and cured within thirty (30) days of the Company receiving written notice of such non-compliance by an employee, manager, owner, or agent of the Company or a governmental authority. Any non-compliance with the compliance program provided

herein that is cured within the foregoing cure period shall not be considered a breach of this Agreement.

22. Respondents shall certify through the means of a written statement as to the fact and manner of their compliance with Section III of this Settlement Agreement. Such certification shall be delivered within six (6) months after the effective date of this Settlement Agreement.

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Term

23. Except as otherwise provided herein, all provisions in the Settlement Agreement shall remain in effect for a period of three (3) years from the effective date of this Settlement Agreement.

Releases

24. In consideration of the obligations of Respondents under this Settlement Agreement, and conditioned upon Respondents' payment of the Primary Amount, the State of Connecticut hereby fully and finally releases Respondents from any possible civil or administrative claim, action, suit, or proceeding for damages, penalties, or other injuries allegedly suffered by the State of Connecticut, that the Attorney General and the Department of Consumer Protection may have asserted arising from or related to the Allegations herein or the underlying conduct related thereto through and including the effective date of this Settlement Agreement.

25. Notwithstanding the release given in Paragraph 24 of this Settlement Agreement or other term of this Settlement Agreement, the following claims of the State of Connecticut are specifically reserved and not released:

(a) Any liability to the State of Connecticut (or its respective agencies) for any conduct other than the conduct described in the Allegations;
(b) Claims based upon such obligations as are created by this Settlement Agreement;
(c) Any tax liability arising under laws and regulations that are administered and enforced by the Connecticut Department of Revenue Services; and
(d) Claims of natural persons or consumers, including civil liability for personal injury or for other consequential damages arising from the conduct described in the Allegations.

26. Respondents fully and finally release the Attorney General and the State of Connecticut, and their respective agencies, officers, agents, employees, and servants, from any claims, including attorney's fees, costs, and expenses of every kind and however denominated, that Respondents have asserted, could have asserted, or may assert in the future against the Attorney General and the State of Connecticut, and their respective agencies, officers, agents, employees, and servants, related to the conduct described in the Allegations.

27. This Settlement Agreement is intended to be for the benefit of the Parties only. The Parties do not release any claims against any other person or entity. Notwithstanding the release given in Paragraph 26 of this Settlement Agreement or other term of this Settlement Agreement, the Respondents (a) shall not be deemed to have waived any right to a claim with the Connecticut Claims Commissioner that is unrelated to the conduct described herein, (b) do not waive or release any rights to enforce the terms of this Agreement, and (c) do not waive or release any claims, defenses, or counterclaims relating to the matters set forth in Paragraph 25 hereof.

Notice to Parties

28. Unless otherwise stated in writing subsequent to the effective date of this Settlement Agreement, all notifications and communications made pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be submitted to the persons listed below:

FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:

Julián A. Quiñones Reyes
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106
(860) 808-5030
Julian.Quinones@ct.gov

FOR RESPONDENTS:

Benjamin H. Pomerantz
Partner
Carmody Torrance Sandak &
Hennessey LLP
1055 Washington Blvd., 4th Floor
Stamford, CT 06901
(203) 252-2645
BPomerantz@carmodylaw.com

Miscellaneous

29. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed to prohibit the Respondents from engaging in lawful business in Connecticut in compliance with this Agreement and all applicable Connecticut laws.

30. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed as relieving the Respondents of their obligations to comply with all state and federal laws, regulations, and rules, or as granting permission to engage in any acts or practices prohibited by such law, regulation, or rule.

31. This Settlement Agreement does not constitute evidence, finding, nor admission of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing by any Respondent.

32. Titles or captions in this Settlement Agreement are inserted as a matter of convenience and for reference only and in no way define, limit, extend, or describe the scope of this Settlement Agreement or any provision thereof.

33. As used herein, the plural shall refer to the singular and the singular shall refer to the plural, and the masculine and the feminine and the neuter shall refer to the other, as the context requires.

34. Respondents acknowledge that the State of Connecticut's remedy at law regarding enforcement of this Settlement Agreement is inadequate and agree that the Connecticut Superior Court has the authority to specifically enforce the provisions of this Settlement Agreement, including the authority to award equitable relief and require specific performance. The exclusive forum for resolving any dispute under this Settlement Agreement shall be the Connecticut Superior Court for the Judicial District of Hartford. For purposes of construing this Settlement Agreement, this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to have been drafted by all Parties to this Settlement Agreement and shall not, therefore, be construed against any Party for that reason in any subsequent dispute.

35. This Settlement Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Connecticut, without regard to its choice of law rules.

36. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed as a waiver of the State of Connecticut's sovereign immunity.

37. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the complete agreement by and among the Attorney General and the Respondents and may not be amended except by a writing signed by the Attorney General and the Respondents.

38. This Settlement Agreement shall be binding on Respondents and their subsequent purchasers, merged parties, inheritors, or other successors in interest for the Term of this agreement. Respondents shall take no direct or indirect action to circumvent the terms of this Settlement Agreement. In no event shall assignment of any right, power, or authority under this Settlement Agreement avoid Respondents' compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

39. During the Term of the agreement, no duty or obligation imposed by this Settlement Agreement on Respondents shall be assigned or delegated by Respondents without the express written consent of the Attorney General. Any purported assignment or delegation in violation of the preceding sentence shall be voidable at the sole discretion of the Attorney General.

40. If any portion of this Settlement Agreement is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms of this Settlement Agreement shall not be affected.

41. Each Party shall bear its own legal and other costs incurred in connection with this matter, including the preparation and performance of this Settlement Agreement.

42. Any signature required to affect any part of this Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Parties in counterparts, each of which signatures shall be deemed an original, and any such document executed in counterparts shall have the same effect and authority.

43. The Respondents enter into this Settlement Agreement of their own free and voluntary act and with full knowledge and understanding of the nature of the proceedings and the obligations and duties imposed by this Settlement Agreement

44. The undersigned individuals signing this Settlement Agreement on behalf of each Respondent warrant that they are duly authorized by each Respondent to execute this Settlement Agreement.

45. The undersigned individuals signing this Settlement Agreement on behalf of the Attorney General and the State of Connecticut represent that they are signing this Settlement Agreement in their official capacities and that they are duly authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement.

[signature pages follow on the next page]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Attorney General and Respondents set their hands and seals on the dates set forth below:

MOHAVE CT, LLC
By: Devine Holdings, LLC

By: _____
Curtis Walter Devine
Manager, Devine Holdings, LLC
4121 E. Valley Auto Drive, Suite 120
Mesa, AZ 85206

Dated: 1-7-26

DEVINE HOLDINGS, LLC

By: _____
Curtis Walter Devine
Manager
4121 E. Valley Auto Drive, Suite 120
Mesa, AZ 85206

Dated: 1-7-26

CURTIS WALTER DEVINE

_____
Curtis Walter Devine
2035 E Mountain View Plz
Fort Mohave, AZ 86426

Dated: 1-7-26

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

William Tong
Attorney General of Connecticut

Nicole Demers
Deputy Associate Attorney General
Chief, Antitrust Section

_____
Julián A. Quiñones Reyes
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106

Dated: 01/09/2026

[signatures continue]

TIGERSUN SERVICES INC. dba GC NATIONAL

By: _____

Andrew James Simonow
Principle
78 Marlborough St Suite 1
Portland, CT 06480

Dated: 1-9-26

ANDREW JAMES SIMONOW

_____

Andrew James Simonow
13 Aldens Xing
East Hampton, CT 06424

Dated: 1-9-26

[signatures continue]

DEBBIE'S DISPENSARY, LLC

By: _____
Debbie Sue Hunter
Member
500 Main St
East Hartford, CT 06118

Dated: 1 · 7 · 26

DEBBIE SUE HUNTER

_____
Debbie Sue Hunter
2035 E Mountain View Plz
Fort Mohave, AZ 86426

Dated: 1 · 7 · 26

[signatures continue]

SARA'S DISPENSARY, LLC

By: _____
Sara Rose Presler
Member
33 Berlin Road
Cromwell, CT 06416

Dated: 1-07-2026

SARA ROSE PRESLER

_____
Sara Rose Presler
3967 E. Indigo Bay Ct.
Gilbert, AZ 85234

Dated: 1-07-2026

[signatures continue]

TY'S DISPENSARY, LLC

By: _____

Tyler Neil Christensen
Member
1234 Huntington Tpke
Bridgeport, CT 06611

Dated: 01/07/2026

TYLER NEIL CHRISTENSEN

_____

Tyler Neil Christensen
2107 Via Viejo
San Clemente, CA 92673

Dated: 01/07/2026

[signatures end]